Contemporary murine models in preclinical astrocytoma drug development
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Despite 6 decades of research, only 3 drugs have been approved for astrocytomas, the most common malignant primary brain tumors. However, clinical drug development is accelerating with the transition from empirical, cytotoxic therapy to precision, targeted medicine. Preclinical animal model studies are critical for prioritizing drug candidates for clinical development and, ultimately, for their regulatory approval. For decades, only murine models with established tumor cell lines were available for such studies. However, these poorly represent the genomic and biological properties of human astrocytomas, and their preclinical use fails to accurately predict efficacy in clinical trials. Newer models developed over the last 2 decades, including patient-derived xenografts, genetically engineered mice, and genetically engineered cells purified from human brains, more faithfully phenocopy the genomics and biology of human astrocytomas. Harnessing the unique benefits of these models will be required to identify drug targets, define combination therapies that circumvent inherent and acquired resistance mechanisms, and develop molecular biomarkers predictive of drug response and resistance. With increasing recognition of the molecular heterogeneity of astrocytomas, employing multiple, contemporary models in preclinical drug studies promises to increase the efficiency of drug development for specific, molecularly defined subsets of tumors.
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a century. Periodic refinement of the initial 1926 classification culminated in the current scheme, published in 2007 by the World Health Organization (WHO). This system utilizes cytological evidence of astrocytic differentiation and the presence of morphological features, including mitotic activity, angiogenesis, and necrosis, to stratify patients into prognostically distinct diagnostic entities with increasingly poor survival. Low grade (WHO grade II) astrocytomas have a 10-year median overall survival. High-grade astrocytomas, including anaplastic astrocytomas and GBMs, feature elevated mitotic activity and angiogenesis and/or necrosis. These tumors have dismal prognoses of ~3 years and 15 months, respectively. Despite their classification into distinct diagnostic entities, comprehensive genomic analyses have shown that astrocytomas of all grades are molecularly diverse. Recognition of this fact has fueled efforts to develop a molecular classification scheme to further accelerate the shift from empirical, cytotoxic therapies to precision medicine with targeted agents in molecularly defined tumor subsets (Fig. 2).

Although astrocytomas contribute significantly to cancer-related death and disability, they are relatively rare. GBMs account for 86% of all astrocytomas but only affect 3.2 in 100,000 individuals in the United States. Its incidence is age dependent and ranges from 0.14 in children to a peak of 14.9 in 100,000 75–84 year-old adults. Moreover, <20% of adult astrocytoma patients enroll in clinical trials. The low prevalence and limited clinical trial participation represent significant challenges for astrocytoma drug development.

As the diagnostic and treatment landscape evolves from empirical to precision medicine, now is an opportune time to reassess the way drugs are developed for adult patients with astrocytomas (Fig. 2). The reality that astrocytomas are rare relative to other cancer types and that trial participation is limited makes this issue even more acute. A number of innovative approaches to clinical trial design, including adaptive biomarker-based trials, are currently under investigation and will not be the focus of this review. Rather, we focus on the role of murine models in preclinical drug development for adult astrocytoma patients and will argue that fundamental changes in their use are required to expand the therapeutic armamentarium and improve outcomes for these devastating malignancies.

Given the number of promising targeted agents that deserve clinical testing, preclinical astrocytoma modeling will be critical for validating drug targets and prioritizing candidates for clinical studies. These models will also be critical for the discovery and development of novel predictive biomarkers that can be used to

Fig. 1. FDA approved oncology drugs. The cumulative number of cytotoxic (C), biological (B), and targeted (T) drugs approved by the FDA is shown. Dates correspond to the first indication approved. Approvals for subsequent indications are not shown. Drugs used for astrocytomas are indicated. Data were compiled from http://www.drugs.com (accessed September 12, 2014) and http://www.medilexicon.com/drugs-list/cancer.php (accessed September 12, 2014).

Fig. 2. Evolution of astrocytoma treatment, classification, and murine models. Shading in black depicts increased emphasis over time. Major developments in each category are noted. Abbreviations: BCNU, bis-chloroethyl nitrosourea; Bev, bevacizumab; DI, direct injection; ECL, established cell line; GE, genetically engineered; geHC, genetically engineered human cells; GEM, genetically engineered mice; GEP, gene expression profiling; GSC, glioma stem cell; nGEM, non-germline genetically engineered mice; PDX, patient-derived xenografts; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; Thal, thalidomide; TMZ, temozolomide; VB, vinblastine; WHO, World Health Organization.
stratify patients into biologically meaningful disease subtypes and identify likely responders. Finally, these models will be critical for defining the molecular mechanisms of drug sensitivity and resistance so that rational combination therapies and molecular diagnostic tests to guide their use can be developed.

The last 20 years have witnessed major improvements in the preclinical modeling of astrocytomas. A number of recent reviews have described these in detail. Here we examine the role of conventional mouse models in the development of cytotoxic drugs commonly used to treat adult astrocytoma patients. We then examine their use in the development of select targeted agents that have recently failed in late stage clinical trials. We will then describe contemporary mouse models and how they may be best utilized to improve clinical drug development in the future.

**Development of DNA Alkylating Agents for Glioblastoma**

Three cytotoxic, DNA alkylating agents, carmustine (bis-chloroethyl nitrosourea [BCNU]), lomustine (1-(2-chloroethyl)-3-cyclohexyl-1-nitrosourea [CCNU]), and temozolomide (TMZ) have been the cornerstones of astrocytoma chemotherapy for the past 5 decades. The nitrosoureas BCNU and CCNU entered clinical practice in the 1960s and were studied in a number of clinical trials through the 1990s. TMZ was developed in the late 1980s and entered clinical practice in the mid-1990s. How were animal model studies utilized to inform their clinical development, and what lessons can be learned?

Randomized phase III clinical trials of nitrosoureas in adult astrocytoma patients were published in the late 1970s. BCNU and CCNU were initially chosen for clinical development based on their excellent ability to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and their efficacy in multiple preclinical models. However, individual trial results from 1976 to 2001 were inconclusive, and a meta-analysis of >3000 patients from 12 trials was required to definitively demonstrate their efficacy (ie, a ~2-month increase in median survival and a 5% increase in 2-year survival). Although this study established chemotherapy as a valuable adjuvant to surgical resection and radiation therapy, the clinical benefits of nitrosoureas were marginal, and systemic toxicity was not infrequent.

The successful culture of spontaneous tumors from chemically mutagenized rodents as established cell lines (ECLs) transformed the cancer research landscape in the 1940s (Fig. 3A).
Development of the P388 and L1210 models of leukemia in particular were critical in development of dozens of cytotoxic drugs by the Developmental Therapeutics Program at the National Cancer Institute from the 1950s through the 1980s.28,29 Their rapid, reproducible growth, high penetrance, and short latency when injected into syngeneic hosts made these models particularly attractive for preclinical drug studies. It is therefore not surprising, in retrospect, that the nitrosoureas were first tested and found to have efficacy in intracranial leu-
nemia models.30 While chemical mutagenesis had been shown to produce gliomas in rodents during the 1940s,31 ECL and al-
lograft models of murine gliomas, including GL26, GL261, 9L, and C6, became widespread during the late 1960s and early 1970s.13,19,31–34 ECL cultures from human astrocytomas and xenotransplantation into immunodeficient mice were devel-
oped contemporaneously.19,35–39 Thus, preclinical testing of nitrosoureas in glioma models occurred much later during their clinical development, with the first results being published in 1973.33 A meta-analysis of preclinical studies employing ei-
ther murine allograft or human xenograft ECL models showed highly variable efficacy that was significantly influenced by ex-
perimental design. Overall effect sizes were small (0.19-fold and 0.43-fold increases in median survival for BCNU and CCNU, respectively), and no statistically significant beneficial ef-
fect was found.40

TMZ, a mono-alkylating agent developed in the 1980s, was clinically investigated due to its broad antitumor activity and favorable toxicity profile in preclinical models, particularly L1210 leukemias (Fig. 4).61 It was found to have excellent oral bioavailability in a phase I study. Fortunately, this trial in-
cluded several high-grade astrocytoma patients who experi-
cenced partial sustained responses.42 Subsequent clinical experience in recurrent and newly diagnosed high-grade astro-
cytoma patients was similarly favorable.43 Phase II trials in re-
current high-grade astrocytomas and in combination with radiation in newly diagnosed GBM patients showed 58% radi-
ographic response rates and 16-month median survival, respec-
tively.44,45 The definitive phase III trial published in 2005 estab-
lished adjuvant TMZ in combination with fractionated ra-
diation as the standard of care for newly diagnosed GBM based on a 21% increase in median survival and a 5-fold increase in 5-year survival compared with radiation alone, which produced only 12.1-month median and 1.9% 5-year survivals.46,47

The first preclinical study of TMZ efficacy in GBM ECL models was published in 1994, 7 years after its first description and 2 years after entering clinical trials.48 A 2013 meta-analysis of TMZ in murine allograft and human xenograft ECL models of GBM showed that it was consistently efficacious in both, pro-
ducing 50% decreases in tumor volume and ~2-fold increases in median survival on average.49 This contrasts with the meta-
analyses of nitrosoureas in similar preclinical models that showed far smaller and inconsistent effect sizes.50

The biological effects of TMZ are largely mediated by its abil-
ity to methylate the O6 position of guanine.50 Repair of this le-
ukemia models.51 Based upon this knowledge, a retrospective companion study to the definitive phase III trial that established TMZ as the standard of care for GBM showed that MGMT promoter methylation was a favorable prognostic, and likely predictive, marker for TMZ benefit in GBM patients.52 Subsequent studies using more contemporary human GBM models, whereby patient-derived tumors are directly xenografted into immunodeficient mice without prior serum-
based culture, showed that MGMT promoter methylation was an important predictor of TMZ efficacy.53,54 TMZ, given concur-
cently with radiation, produced a survival benefit only in a subset of GBM patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models with methylated MGMT.55 Moreover, TMZ showed a wider response range in PDX models (0.2–5.9-fold increases in median survival) than in human ECL models (0.3–2.5-fold) of GBMs, suggesting that these newer models may more accurately reflect its clinical effi-
cacy, particularly in molecularly defined subsets of tumors.49,54,55

Based on the role of MGMT in TMZ resistance and the fact that protracted, dose-dense TMZ depleted MGMT activity in per-
ipheral blood mononuclear cells, the hypothesis that dose-
dense TMZ would enhance its therapeutic benefits, particularly in GBM with unmethylated MGMT, was explored in a random-
ized, phase III clinical trial.56–58 Although this recently pub-
lished trial prospectively confirmed the prognostic significance of MGMT promoter methylation, dose-dense TMZ failed to im-
prove survival in either MGMT unmethylated or methylated GBM. These results are consistent with a preclinical study in GBM PDX models showing that TMZ induced MGMT expression, even in MGMT-unmethylated GBM.59 A similar lack of efficacy and correlation with MGMT methylation status was found in a preclinical study of dose-dense TMZ with 7 GBM PDX models published during trial accrual.60

**Development of Targeted Agents for Glioblastoma**

The promise of small molecule inhibitors that target the dysre-
gulated signaling pathways driving gliomagenesis has fueled
neuro-oncology drug development since the late 1990s. The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) had long been known to be a mutated target in GBM, where amplifications and activating truncation mutations are among the most common genetic abnormalities. Based upon this knowledge, their antitumor activity in preclinical models, and their efficacy in EGFR-mutant non–small cell lung cancers (NSCLCs), the first-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) gefitinib and erlotinib entered clinical trials in the 2000s (Fig. 5A). However, no significant activity was found in a number of phase II GBM studies, and no reliable biomarkers to predict their efficacy could be identified in retrospective molecular analyses. Reasons for their failure remain poorly understood, but signaling pathway redundancy and molecular heterogeneity were likely contributors. Subsequent pharmacokinetic (PK) studies in patients with NSCLC brain metastases showed limited CSF penetration of both gefitinib and erlotinib. Moreover, expression of ABC transporters, including P-glycoprotein and breast cancer resistance protein, were shown to significantly limit brain penetration of erlotinib.

Newer EGFR TKIs showed broader activity spectra and targeted multiple EGFR family receptors. Whereas first-generation EGFR TKIs bound only the active conformation of the EGFR TK domain, second-generation TKIs, such as lapatinib, bound the inactive conformation. Studies using GBM ECL and neurosphere culture models showed that GBM-specific EGFR extracellular domain mutations were poorly inhibited by first-generation TKI, but effectively inhibited by lapatinib, in contrast to TK domain mutations in NSCLC. However, lapatinib showed minimal activity in recurrent GBM clinical trials. Subsequent retrospective PK studies using NABTC 04-01 trial material showed intratumoral lapatinib concentrations to be well below its predicted therapeutic threshold.

In contrast to EGFR TKI, data from preclinical glioma models significantly influenced the design of clinical trials with cilengitide, an alpha v-integrin antagonist and putative antiangiogenic agent (Fig. 5B). Cilengitide showed efficacy in subcutaneous GBM ECL xenografts in a 2001 study. A subsequent phase I trial in recurrent GBM patients reported promising biological activity and demonstrated a correlation between PK parameters and radiographic response. Moderate antitumor activity in the recurrent setting was confirmed in a single-agent phase II trial, supporting its continued investigation in combination regimens. Prior to those trials, preclinical studies in GBM ECL xenograft models demonstrated the radiosensitization effects of cilengitide and revealed an unanticipated dependence on schedule. These preclinical data informed the design of subsequent phase I–III trials of cilengitide in combination with chemoradiation in newly diagnosed GBM. Retrospective molecular analysis of phase II specimens suggested that MGMT methylation was associated with cilengitide benefit in this clinical setting; however, cilengitide failed to prolong survival in newly diagnosed GBM patients with methylated MGMT in a randomized phase III trial.

Lessons From the Development of Cytotoxic and Targeted Agents for Glioblastoma

What lessons can be gleaned from the development of alkylating and targeted agents for GBM that might improve future drug development efforts? Clinical trials of nitrosoureas and TMZ were initiated based on preclinical data from murine leukemia models. Data from glioma models came later. With the benefit of hindsight and decades of research conclusively demonstrating that neoplasms from different tissues are molecularly and biologically distinct, it is now clear that the decision to initiate clinical studies should be based on preclinical data in the tumor type of interest. Preclinical data from models that do not accurately reflect the tumor histology or its native organ-based microenvironmental interactions are likely to produce misleading results.
Comparison of alkylating agent efficacy in preclinical glioma models and clinical studies demonstrates striking similarities. Nitrosoureas produced small, but significant benefits in some murine models and astrocytoma patients. In contrast, TMZ was consistently effective in both ECL model studies and clinical trials, with relatively large effect sizes. These data suggest that large effect sizes in multiple preclinical models may be required to accurately predict efficacy in clinical trials, particularly those that enroll molecularly heterogeneous, unselected patient populations. We therefore recommend that the bar for future preclinical drug studies be set well beyond small, but statistically significant prolongations of survival in single model systems, particularly ECL models. Rather, consistent demonstration of large effect sizes in newer model systems that more accurately recapitulate the genomic and biological properties of human astrocytomas may have increased ability to predict clinical efficacy in unselected patient populations.

How do newer model systems, such as PDXs, fare in predicting clinical success relative to conventional ECL models? Preclinical studies with nitrosoureas and cilengitide were only conducted in ECL models (Table 1). Many of the same ECL models were also used in the initial preclinical development of TMZ (Fig. 4), as well as the EGFR TKIs gefitinib and erlotinib (Fig. 5). However, more recent preclinical TMZ and EGFR TKI studies have utilized PDX models to characterize genetic mechanisms of response and resistance and discover predictive biomarkers.53–55,60,68,69,94–96 Thus, the fact that TMZ succeeded clinically, while EGFR TKIs and cilengitide failed, cannot be attributed simply to use of newer model systems in preclinical development. Comprehensive comparison of drug efficacy in newer PDX versus conventional ECL models is limited to TMZ. Such data suggest that PDX models may more accurately reflect the heterogeneity of response seen in GBM patients. Therefore, systematic drug efficacy screening in multiple genomically characterized PDX models might be useful for prospectively identifying sets of tumors that are likely to respond.18,97 In order to evaluate the predictive accuracy of these models and maximize their utility for biomarker discovery and development, preclinical studies in PDX models should be performed earlier in the drug development process, ideally prior to initiating clinical trials or concurrently as “co-clinical trials.”98

Rather than relying on data from preclinical models, predictive biomarker discovery for TMZ and EGFR TKIs was largely conducted by retrospective molecular analyses of clinical trial specimens. Of the above examples, only the phase III cilengitide trial utilized prospective molecular stratification of MGMT-methylated GBM to increase molecular homogeneity and enrich for likely responders. While this approach is critical for clinical biomarker validation and required for its eventual incorporation as an inclusion criterion in prospective trials, retrospective analyses are inefficient because they require completion of the trial. Given the limited incidence and trial participation of astrocytoma patients, we would argue that a more efficient approach for the discovery of predictive biomarkers would be an increased reliance on preclinical drug testing.99

### Table 1. GBM models used in preclinical development of alkylating and targeted agents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemotherapeutic Agent</th>
<th>Conventional ECL Models</th>
<th>Contemporary Models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Murine Allografts</td>
<td>Human Xenografts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkylating agents</td>
<td>Carmustine (BCNU)</td>
<td>GL26, GL261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lomustine (CCNU)</td>
<td>GL26, GL261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temozolomide (TMZ)</td>
<td>9L, C6, F98, T98</td>
<td>A172, D54MG, Hs683, U251MG, U373MG, U87MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted agents</td>
<td>Gefitinib</td>
<td>U87MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erlotinib</td>
<td>U87MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lapatinib</td>
<td>U87MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cilengitide</td>
<td>U87MG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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studies in genonomically and biologically faithful murine models. Such markers could then be validated in retrospective molecular studies of clinical trial specimens. Indeed, this approach has been applied to investigate the ability of a prognostic gene expression signature for predicting bevacizumab efficacy in mesenchymal GBM.\textsuperscript{99–102} Increased use of this approach for future drug development is likely to reduce time and costs and improve the efficiency of clinical trials.

Nitrosoureas and TMZ were attractive clinical candidates due to their lipophilic chemical structures and favorable brain PK profiles. Indeed, their ability to penetrate the BBB and reach diffusely infiltrative tumor cells is likely one reason for their clinical efficacy.\textsuperscript{103} In contrast, the failure of first- and second-generation TKIs to fulfill the promise of EGFR-targeted therapy was likely due in part to their poor brain PKs and the activity of BBB drug efflux pumps. Unfortunately, CNS neo-plasms are a frequent exclusion criterion in phase I studies, and brain PKs are not routinely analyzed during early development of many targeted agents. The unfortunate failure of EGFR TKIs clearly demonstrates the importance of such data. Preclinical PK studies in both glioma models and mice with genetically engineered defects in BBB efflux pumps have the potential to predict clinical failure on the basis of poor PKs if used prior to, or concurrent with, the initiation of advanced clinical trials.

In addition to poor brain PKs, receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signaling pathway redundancy and intertumoral molecular heterogeneity likely contributed to the clinical failures of first-generation EGFR TKIs. Although they share EGFR mutations in common, the divergent efficacy of these drugs in NSCLC and GBM demonstrates that mutational status of a biologically attractive target gene alone is insufficient to predict efficacy of inhibitors that specifically target its activity. Rather, preclinical and clinical data with these drugs in NSCLC and GBM demonstrate that mutation location, within specific functional domains, and its impact on protein structure and catalytic activity is equally important for determining efficacy. Moreover, these data suggest that current precision medicine initiatives that utilize next-generation sequencing to identify “actionable” somatic mutations in oncogenic kinases may require more nuance to fulfill their potential of targeted therapy. Indeed, success of such efforts rests on 3 critical assumptions: (i) that the identified mutation activates downstream signaling and promotes tumorigenesis in the specific tumor type in which it is found; (ii) that the mutated kinase is sensitive to drug inhibition in the appropriate anatomical context (eg, lung vs brain); and (iii) that inhibition results in clinical benefit in the specific tumor type of interest. Because the biological function and druggability of mutational targets are likely tissue specific, it stands to reason that experimental evidence, such as that provided by contemporary preclinical model studies, is necessary to prove the actionability of drug-targetable gene mutations in the specific clinical context to be investigated.

**Astrocytoma Genomic Heterogeneity and its Impact on Drug Development**

Over the last 15 years, advances in genomics and DNA sequencing technologies have revolutionized cancer research. Studies have conclusively demonstrated that significant intertumoral heterogeneity exists on multiple molecular levels, both within and among the 3 diagnostic categories of astrocytomas.\textsuperscript{9,104,105} The transcriptome profiles of lower-grade astrocytomas (WHO grades II and III) and GBM are distinct,\textsuperscript{102,103} and each consists of 3 or 4 transcriptomal subtypes.\textsuperscript{51,64,106,107} Particular patterns of somatic mutations, chromosomal alterations, and DNA methylation are evident not only within each grade but also within grade-specific subtypes.\textsuperscript{61,64,108} For example, the *IDH1* and *IDH2* genes are mutated in ~60%–80% of lower-grade astrocytomas and ~50%–80% of the secondary GBMs into which they inevitably progress but are only found in ~3%–7% of primary GBMs that arise de novo without a clinically detectable, lower-grade antecedent.\textsuperscript{109} Lower-grade astrocytomas that lack IDH mutations have transcriptome and copy number profiles similar to GBM. A molecular classification system that supplements histological classifiers with layers of molecular information promises to provide a diagnostic framework that not only reflects the intertumoral heterogeneity present in these neoplasms but also facilitates more accurate prognostic stratification and prediction of therapeutic response to targeted therapies.\textsuperscript{8,9}

Many of the putative oncogenic driver mutations in astrocytomas occur in genes that comprise 3 core signaling pathways: (i) the G1/S cell cycle checkpoint controlled by the Rb family of pocket proteins, (ii) RTKs and their downstream RAS-mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) effector pathways; and (iii) the TP53 pathway.\textsuperscript{61} Dozens of drugs are currently in development to inhibit kinases in these pathways, and many are actively being investigated in astrocytoma clinical trials. However, given limited trial participation, low disease prevalence, and the number of promising targeted agents that deserve clinical testing, a more rational approach to preclinical drug development for astrocytomas is required.

In addition to intertumoral heterogeneity, genomic studies have shown significant molecular heterogeneity within individual astrocytomas as well.\textsuperscript{110–113} Sequencing data from multiple samples of individual tumors have demonstrated coexistence of spatially distinct clones with divergent mutational and transcriptomal profiles. Phylogenetic reconstruction showed patient-specific patterns of evolution within each tumor.\textsuperscript{111,112} Moreover, treatment of lower-grade astrocytomas with DNA-damaging agents such as TMZ may modify the evolutionary path to high-grade disease by inducing alternative mutational spectra.\textsuperscript{112} Similarly, comprehensive fluorescence in situ hybridization studies have shown that multiple RTK genes, including EGFR, MET, and *PDGFR*, can be simultaneously amplified not only within spatially distinct subpopulations of tumor cells but within individual tumor cells as well.\textsuperscript{114} This mosaic gene amplification can lead to coactivation of multiple redundant RTK signaling pathways, limiting the effectiveness of inhibitors targeting individual kinases and suggesting the need to develop rational combination therapies.\textsuperscript{115}

In addition to molecular heterogeneity, murine modeling studies of human GBM have suggested that individual cells within the tumor may be functionally heterogeneous.\textsuperscript{116} The cancer stem hypothesis posits that a small subpopulation of tumor cells, termed cancer stem cells (CSCs), are uniquely capable of tumor maintenance and hierarchical differentiation into multiple tumor cell lineages.\textsuperscript{117–119} CSCs have been proposed as a cause of therapeutic resistance and tumor
recurrence. Their implications in astrocytoma biology and drug development have been previously reviewed in detail. GBM subtypes may have distinct treatment responses. Although transcriptome profiling was recently evaluated in the trial of bevacizumab in combination with standard-of-care therapy for newly diagnosed GBM, it remains unclear how this measure of intertumoral genomic heterogeneity should be incorporated in future clinical trials. It is likely that novel drug efficacy will be restricted to specific molecular subtypes with unique mutational, epigenetic, or CSC profiles. However, the ideal molecular diagnostic approach to prospectively identify likely responders has yet to be developed. How should molecular profiles be incorporated into clinical trial design to account for the heterogeneity present in astrocytomas? In the absence of definitive data on their prognostic and predictive significance, a prudent approach would be to retrospectively characterize genomic heterogeneity in clinical trial specimens on as many molecular levels as is economically feasible. An even more cost-effective approach may be to conduct preclinical drug studies, either before or parallel with clinical trials, using biologically diverse panels of contemporary murine models that have also been comprehensively profiled.

**Conventional and Contemporary Murine Models of Astrocytomas**

Like diagnosis and therapy, the last 2 decades have witnessed major improvements in preclinical modeling of astrocytomas (Figs. 2 and 3). A number of recent reviews have described these improvements in detail. Here we compare contemporary with conventional ECL models and discuss how they may be utilized to improve preclinical astrocytoma drug development.

**Established Cell Line Models**

Established cell lines (ECLs) were cultured from rodent astrocytomas induced by chemical mutagenesis and transformed the preclinical drug development landscape in the late 1960s (Fig. 3A). These technically straightforward, highly penetrant models were widely disseminated and developed tumors with rapid, uniform growth kinetics and short latency when transplanted subcutaneously or orthotopically into the brains of murine hosts (Table 2). Many recapitulated the histopathological features of human high-grade astrocytomas, including their diffuse invasion of normal brain. Orthotopic

Table 2. Comparison of conventional and contemporary murine astrocytoma models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Conventional ECL Models</th>
<th>Contemporary Models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse Allografts</td>
<td>Human Xenografts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>geHC</td>
<td>PDX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faithful microenvironment</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intact DNA repair</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host and tumor genomes differ</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro culture possible</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous growth</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthotopic growth</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologically faithful</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid growth kinetics</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High penetrance</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short latency</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defined oncogenic mutations</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straightforward genotype-phenotype comparisons</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex genome landscapes</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defined cellular origin</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low grade astrocytomas develop</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic malignant progression</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*Some murine ECL are immunogenic and xenografting requires immunodeficient hosts. 

*b*Immunodeficient severe combined immunodeficiency, but not nude mice have genetic DNA repair defects. 

*c*Some murine ECL fail to invade normal brain. 

*d*Growth kinetics, penetrance, and latency in GEM and nGEM models vary greatly depending on oncogenic mutations and targeted cell type. 

*e*Mutational profiles can be defined by genomic analyses, but genomic complexity renders direct genotype-phenotype correlations difficult. 

*f*Complex gene rearrangements occur less frequently in murine compared to human tumors. 

*g*Conventional knockout GEM models do not have a defined cellular origin. 

*h*IDH mutant PDX models of anaplastic oligodendrogliomas, not astrocytomas, have recently been described.
Xenograft models using ECLs cultured from human astrocytomas, which were developed from the late 1960s through the 1980s, shared many of the attractive features of murine allograft models. Because ECLs originated from human tumors, extrapolation of experimental results were uncomplicated by molecular and physiological differences between mice and humans. However, many failed to recapitulate the brain invasive histopathology of human astrocytomas.\textsuperscript{20,126,127} The requirement for immunodeficient hosts also rendered examination of microenvironmental and immune influences on drug response impossible.

Comprehensive genomic analyses of both murine and human ECL models identified a number of additional limitations. Phenotypic and genotypic drift due to clonal selection upon serial culture of adherent cells in serum-containing media rendered ECLs markedly different from their original tumor.\textsuperscript{19} ECLs cultured under these nonphysiological conditions adapted to the presence of abundant nutrients by increasing metabolic and proliferation pathways and to their artificial microenvironment by decreasing cell adhesion. Thus, ECLs frequently developed uncharacteristic and complex chromosomal abnormalities, and their molecular profiles differed significantly from acutely isolated GBM samples.\textsuperscript{128–131} Although genomic analyses have defined the mutational landscapes of many astrocytoma ECLs, their abundance of mutations and complex chromosomal alterations render genotype-phenotype comparisons difficult.

Subcutaneous ECL models remain a popular method of assessing both in vivo tumorigenesis and drug efficacy due to the technical ease of monitoring growth kinetics in this anatomic compartment. Nevertheless, these models fail to account for native microenvironmental influences on tumor pathogenesis and drug response and do not accurately model PK effects of the BBB. Targeted agents, such as palbociclib, that show efficacy in subcutaneous xenografts have failed when tested in orthotopic models due to drug efflux pumps at the BBB.\textsuperscript{132} The molecular profiles of ECLs xenografted subcutaneously differ markedly from corresponding orthotopic xenografts.\textsuperscript{133} Tumor location can also significantly impact molecular and biological responses to cytotoxic therapies such as radiation.\textsuperscript{134} Because subcutaneous ECL models can overestimate the therapeutic potential of novel agents, their use should be restricted to validation of therapeutic targets in biological proof-of-principle experiments, and their role in prioritizing drugs for clinical investigation should be minimized.

Genetically Engineered Human Cell Models
Models using genetically engineered normal human brain cells (geHCs) have been recently developed to overcome some of the limitations of human astrocytoma ECL and PDX models (Fig. 3C). These models were generated by purifying specific cell types, such as astrocytes or neural stem cells, from normal human brains and using standard molecular biology techniques to engineer their expression of specific oncogenic mutations.\textsuperscript{142–145} By virtue of their design, these genetically defined models permit direct determination of the phenotypic consequences of astrocytoma-associated mutations in specific neural cell types. Their serial culture in vitro is generally unaccompanied by additional genomic abnormalities.\textsuperscript{144} While penetrance, growth kinetics, and latency vary based on mutations and cellular origin, many geHC models give rise to diffusely infiltrative astrocytomas when orthotopically injected into the brains of immunodeficient mice.\textsuperscript{18,97
mutations. The value of these models in basic astrocytoma research has been reviewed extensively elsewhere. Here we focus on their use in astrocytoma drug development.

A number of factors inherent in the design of astrocytoma GEM models has limited their use in preclinical drug development, particularly for studies evaluating drug efficacy by conventional clinical endpoints (e.g., radiographic response and overall survival). Whereas single oncogenic alleles are sufficient to induce tumorigenesis in medulloblastoma models, multiple mutations are typically required to induce astrocytoma tumorigenesis in GEMs. Conditional GEM models require complex and often inefficient breeding schemes to generate sufficiently large cohorts for preclinical drug studies. RCAS/tva GEMs are much more amenable because multiple predefined, oncogenic alleles can be simultaneously introduced into specific neural cell types using a single transgenic mouse line engineered to express tva receptors. However, because RCAS retroviral vectors are required, these models are limited to the transformation of endogenously proliferative cell types. High-grade astrocytoma tumorigenesis typically occurs with variable penetrance after relatively long periods of latency in these model systems. Moreover, GBMs develop in a temporally heterogeneous, stochastic manner. Therefore, the presence and location of tumors in individual mice must be confirmed by radiographic imaging prior to treatment initiation and intermittently thereafter to monitor drug response in vivo. Taken together, these features make preclinical drug studies in astrocytoma GEMs long, cumbersome, and expensive.

Non-germline Genetically Engineered Mouse Models

Non-germline GEM (nGEM) models overcome many of the limitations of GEMs and may be more amenable to preclinical drug studies. Like gHc, nGEM models utilize cultures of specific cell types harvested from GEM brains, including astrocytes and neural stem cells (Fig. 3D). They harbor defined genetic mutations, and their serial culture is generally unaccompanied by additional genomic abnormalities (unpublished observations). While penetrance and latency vary with mutations and cellular origin, nGEM astrocytomas developed with uniform growth kinetics in vivo when injected into syngeneic, immunocompetent hosts, precluding the need for radiographic screening. Like ECLs, PDXs, and gHc, these cells can be readily modified genetically to express luminescent proteins that facilitate monitoring of disease burden and drug response with bioluminescence imaging. Both gHc and nGEM models enable direct determination of genotype-phenotype relationships. Unlike ECLs and PDXs, these models can be used to define the oncogenic roles of single mutations and the cooperative roles of multiple mutations during tumorigenesis. They are thus uniquely suited for the systematic validation of putative oncogenic driver mutations identified in large-scale genome characterization projects. Because gHc and nGEM grow in vitro and in vivo and feature defined genomic landscapes, these models can also be used to unambiguously define the genetics of drug response and resistance.

nGEMs may be useful for dissecting the role of individual mutations and their cellular origin in generating genomic diversity of human astrocytomas. As such, subtype-specific nGEM models of GBM may be developed for drug development. We have recently published a nGEM model derived from G1/S defective astrocytes with activated MAPK and PI3K signaling that molecularly mimics proneural human GBM. However, in contrast to ECL, PDX, and gHc models, nGEM models utilize syngeneic, immunocompetent hosts and may be useful in development of drugs targeting tumor-stroma interactions or immunomodulatory therapies.

Modeling Low-grade Astrocytomas

Despite advances in modeling techniques, murine models that mimic the natural history of low-grade astrocytomas (WHO grade II) in humans have been difficult to develop. These tumors generally fail to become established when cultured in vitro or grow when transplanted into immunodeficient mice. In fact, in vivo tumorigenesis has long been known to correlate with histological grade and poor prognosis. Thus, human ECL and PDX models of low-grade astrocytomas are virtually nonexistent. Their absence has significantly impeded study of the genetics of malignant progression and the development of effective drugs for these tumors. However, several GEM models have recently been described that develop as clinically silent but histopathologically detectable low-grade astrocytomas. These tumors progressively expand over time, spontaneously acquire additional mutations, and undergo malignant progression to lethal, high-grade disease. Despite their initiation by a limited number of oncogenic mutations, malignant progression in these GEMs results in GBMs with transcriptomes that recapitulate the full spectrum of human subtypes. Moreover, we have genomically characterized multiple, spatially distinct GBMs that developed in different brain regions of individual mice and found that their genomic landscapes differed, suggesting that divergent genetic evolution occurs in these models. These GEM models therefore may be uniquely suited for defining the genetics of malignant progression, the prognostic impact of TMZ-induced hypermutation in low grade astrocytomas, and the development of novel treatments to prevent or delay their progression.

IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are subtype-defining genetic features of lower-grade astrocytomas. However, preclinical models for the development of IDH-mutant astrocytoma therapies are scarce. Most studies published to date have used stably transected ECLs or gHc to investigate the biological effects of IDH mutations in vitro. Adherent serum cultures of IDH-mutant astrocytomas have been shown to lose the mutant allele upon serial passage, and mutant-containing clones have failed to become ECLs. In contrast, 4 IDH1 mutant anaplastic gliomas (WHO grade III) have been successfully cultured as neurospheres in vitro. However, only 2 of these, both from anaplastic oligodendrogial neoplasms, formed serially transplantable gliomas in the immunodeficient mouse brain. Thus, only 2 potential PDX models are currently available for preclinical IDH-mutant glioma drug development.

The initial attempt to develop a GEM model of IDH-mutant gliomas was also disappointing. Conditional activation of a heterozygous, floxed IDH1 mutant allele using Nestin-cre or Gfap-cre drivers failed to elicit tumorigenesis in the developing mouse brain, despite production of the oncometabolite
Table 3. The role of contemporary murine models in preclinical astrocytoma drug development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Issue</th>
<th>Role of Preclinical Models</th>
<th>Ideal Model(s)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target validation</td>
<td>Define role in tumorigenesis</td>
<td>GEM, nGEM, geHC</td>
<td>127,144,154,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular origin</td>
<td>Define role in tumorigenesis</td>
<td>GEM, nGEM, geHC</td>
<td>127,142,153,154,189,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug prioritization</td>
<td>Characterize CNS penetration</td>
<td>PDX, GEM</td>
<td>132,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Define effective dose and schedule</td>
<td>PDX, nGEM, geHC</td>
<td>53,60,89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Define resistance mechanisms</td>
<td>PDX, nGEM, geHC</td>
<td>82,191,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test combination therapies</td>
<td>PDX, nGEM, geHC</td>
<td>95,156,193 - 195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient selection</td>
<td>Developing predictive biomarkers</td>
<td>PDX, nGEM</td>
<td>53 - 55,59,60,68,69,94 - 96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D-2-hydroxyglutarate (D2HG). Rather, D2HG blocked collagen maturation and altered vascular basement membranes, leading to brain hemorrhage and embryonic lethality. These results suggest that IDH1 mutations alone are not sufficient to induce tumorigenesis, at least in the developing mouse brain. However, the effects of IDH1R132H have not been examined in the adult brain. It therefore remains possible that temporal control of IDH1R132H induction in the adult mouse brain using drug-inducible Cre drivers may be more successful in modeling IDH-mutant gliomas.

Alternatively, successful culture and xenografting of IDH-mutant human gliomas as well as GEM modeling may require the presence of cooperative oncogenic mutations. geHCs and nGEMS represent attractive model systems for exploring this hypothesis. In this regard, the IDH1R132H mutation has been shown to impair histone demethylation in immortalized normal human astrocytes.173 IDH1R132H also remodelled the DNA methylome of these cells and was sufficient to induce the glioma CpG island methylator (G-CIMP) phenotype. Moreover, IDH1R132H blocked astrocytic differentiation in neurosphere cultures of neural stem cells harvested from neonatal Ink4a/Arf null GEMs.174 When engineered to express mutations in the RAS-MAPK and PI3K pathways, immortalized human and murine astrocytes have been shown to induce tumorigenesis upon transplantation into mouse brains.127,143,144,187 Thus, IDH-mutant geHC or nGEM brain cells with additional engineered mutations may represent promising preclinical systems for development of IDH targeted therapies.

Contemporary Murine Models in Preclinical Astrocytoma Drug Development

Murine models can address a number of issues important in the development of clinical drugs for astrocytomas. These include validating molecular targets, defining the role of cellular origin in drug response, prioritizing drugs for clinical development, and developing predictive markers to identify potential responders (Table 3). The ideal model(s) to address these issues differs based on the inherent strengths and weaknesses of their design.

GEMs have established roles in the validation of molecular targets, particularly in defining the role of putative oncogenic drivers in the initiation and progression of tumorigenesis. Because of their genetic tractability, nGEM and geHC models are poised to supplement GEMs in future target validation efforts. Indeed, we utilized nGEM models to establish that cooperation between MAPK and PI3K signaling is required for GBM pathogenesis in vivo, suggesting that simultaneous inhibition of both pathways may be required for effective therapeutic design.127 Moreover, geHC models with human astrocytes proved critical in defining the effects of IDH mutations on the epigenetic control of tumor cell differentiation.173

In addition to target validation, GEM, nGEM, and geHC models may be useful for defining the impact of cellular origin on astrocytoma tumorigenesis and drug sensitivity.20 Like astrocytomas, multiple genomic subtypes of medulloblastoma with distinct mutations exist.182 GEM models have shown that different oncogenic mutations in specific cells of origin in the developing mouse cerebellum lead to distinct genomic subtypes of medulloblastoma that mimic their human counterparts. GEM models of sonic hedgehog-associated medulloblastoma in particular are currently being utilized for the preclinical evaluation of subtype-specific targeted therapies.195

PDX and GEM models with defective ABC transporters have established roles in characterizing CNS PKs.132,181 The advantages of PDX, nGEM, and geHC over ECL models promise to replace their use in defining dose and schedule dependencies of combination therapies for GBM.189 Due to the redundancies of RTK signaling, mosaic amplification of multiple RTKs in GBM and significant inter- and intratumoral molecular heterogeneity, monotherapy with single targeted agents will likely prove ineffective for GBM.105 Increasing use of contemporary PDX, nGEM, and geHC models in preclinical development of these agents is likely to aid in defining and further characterizing these mechanisms of drug resistance. Because these cells can be cultured in vitro, synthetic lethality screens or kinase profiling promise to aid definition of rational combination therapies to combat drug resistance.184 Moreover, more systematic use of multiple genomically diverse models in preclinical drug efficacy screens promises to aid development of predictive genomic biomarkers.18,97

Conclusion

Despite decades of research, the therapeutic armamentarium of approved drugs for astrocytomas remains limited. The field of neuro-oncology has yet to benefit from the accelerated pace of oncology drug development due to issues of prevalence, trial participation, and biological complexity of the disease. However, comprehensive genomic characterization and changes in
clinical trial design promise to improve disease classification and increase the number of targeted agents that can be clinically evaluated. Improvements in preclinical murine models and their systematic integration by the neuro-oncology community during early drug development promises to further accelerate therapeutic advances for these devastating malignancies.
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